

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
09/895,096 06/29/2001		Rabindranath Dutta	AUS920010423US1	6741		
34533	7590 03/04/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER		
BIGGERS &	: OHANIAN, LLP	BAYAT, BE	BAYAT, BRADLEY B			
504 LAVACA SUITE 970	STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
AUSTIN, TX	78701-2856		3621			
			DATE MAILED: 03/04/2004	DATE MAILED: 03/04/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary			Application Applicant(s)						
			09/895,09	6	DUTTA ET AL.				
			Examiner		Art Unit				
			Bradley Ba	-	3621	Ma			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicator Reply	ition appe	ars on the	cover shet with the c	orrespondenc a	ddress			
THE - Exte aftel - If th - If NC - Failt Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAL INSIGN THE PRIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAL INSIGN STATE OF THIS COMMUNICAL INSIGN STATE OF THE PRIOR OF TH	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136 ication. lays, a reply w ory period will l, by statute, ca	i(a). In no ever within the statu I apply and will ause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tin tory minimum of thirty (30) day expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status									
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>29 <i>Jun</i></u>	<u>ne 2001</u> .						
2a) <u></u> ☐	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.								
3)[☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	 ✓ Claim(s) 1-42 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. ☑ Claim(s) 1-42 is/are rejected. ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 								
Applicat	ion Papers								
10)	The specification is objected to by the E The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objected Replacement drawing sheet(s) including th The oath or declaration is objected to b) accep on to the dr e ∞rrection	oted or b)[rawing(s) be on is require	e held in abeyance. See d if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C	` '			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
2) Notice 3) Information	ot(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT ter No(s)/Mail Date <u>2</u> .			4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	'O-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-42 are presented for examination on the merits.

Drawings

The formal drawings were received on 2 February 2004.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Seigel et al. (hereinafter Seigel), U.S. Patent Application Publication 2001/0051876 A1 in view of Walker et al. (hereinafter Walker), U.S. Patent Application Publication 2002/009535 A1.

As per the following claims:

1. Seigel discloses creating a server, in response to a signal communicated through a client device coupled for data communications through at least one Internet connection to another server (¶5, 53-57). Seigel does not explicitly teach the use of an international customs database server for submitting customs declaration forms to international destinations. Walker discloses a computer implemented international trade system that discloses a international database server that provides information regarding international/governmental regulatory requirements and

Art Unit: 3621

documentation necessary for the import of export of goods that must be submitted to the customs authority (¶45-59; 87). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine Seigel's system and method for personalizing, customizing and distributing geographically distinctive products and travel information with Walker's computer implemented international trade system in order to provide an automated, efficient and uniform travel system to a busy traveler.

- 2. Seigel further discloses the method of claim 1 wherein the client device is a workstation in a kiosk at an airport (¶60).
- 3. Seigel further discloses the method of claim 1 wherein the client device is a workstation installed in the back of a passenger chair in an airplane (¶60).
- 4. Seigel further discloses the method of claim 1 wherein the client device is a personal computer (¶53).
- 5. Seigel further discloses the method of claim 1 wherein the client device is a hand-held personal data administrator (¶16).
- 6. Seigel further discloses the method of claim 1 wherein the at least one Internet connection is wireless (¶16).

Art Unit: 3621

- 7. Seigel discloses creating a server, in response to a signal communicated through a client device coupled for data communications through at least one Internet connection to another server (¶5, 53-57). Seigel does not explicitly teach the method of claim 1 wherein the customs planning record comprises customs data describing goods for import, the customs data including identification of an importer and of a destination country. Walker teaches a customs planning record comprises customs data describing goods for import, the customs data including identification of an importer and of a destination country (¶80, 86-87). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine Seigel's system and method for personalizing, customizing and distributing geographically distinctive products and travel information with Walker's computer implemented international trade system in order to ensure proper compliance with regulations and fees associated with imported goods.
- 8, 12-14. Seigel discloses creating a server, in response to a signal communicated through a client device coupled for data communications through at least one Internet connection to another server (¶5, 53-57). Seigel does not explicitly teach the method of claim 1 wherein the international customs server is a software application installed and operating on one or more computers, the software application further comprising software routines storing and retrieving customs planning records, validating goods described in customs planning records against customs regulations stored in customs regulations databases, and submitting to customs databases declaration forms prepared in dependence upon the customs planning records. Walker teaches an international customs server is a software application installed and operating on one or more computers, the software application further comprising software routines storing and

-Art Unit: 3621

retrieving customs planning records, validating goods described in customs planning records against customs regulations stored in customs regulations databases, and submitting to customs databases declaration forms prepared in dependence upon the customs planning records (¶64-96). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine Seigel's system and method for personalizing, customizing and distributing geographically distinctive products and travel information with Walker's computer implemented international trade system in order to ensure compliance with international regulations and customs requirements uniformly.

9, 10. Seigel discloses creating a server, in response to a signal communicated through a client device coupled for data communications through at least one Internet connection to another server (¶5, 53-57). Seigel does not explicitly teach the method of claim 1 wherein creating in dependence upon the customs planning record a customs declaration form for the destination country further comprises reading customs data from a customs planning record and inserting the read customs data into a declaration form. Walker teaches creating in dependence upon the customs planning record a customs declaration form for the destination country further comprises reading customs data from a customs planning record and inserting the read customs data into a declaration form and validating such data (¶87-88). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine Seigel's system and method for personalizing, customizing and distributing geographically distinctive products and travel information with Walker's computer implemented international trade system in order to transfer data seamlessly and efficiently from user input and populate the required forms for

-Art Unit: 3621

submission and avoid possible errors by user input each time.

Claims 15-28 and 29-42 are directed to a system and computer program of the above claimed invention and are therefore rejected on similar grounds as above.

Examiner has pointed out particular references contained in the prior arts of record in the body of this action for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings in the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant, in preparing the response, to consider fully the entire references as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior arts or disclosed by the examiner.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

• US Patent Application Publication 2002/0069095 A1 to Nishio et al.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bradley Bayat whose telephone number is 703-305-8548. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday during normal business hours.

-Art Unit: 3621

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Trammell can be reached on 703-305-9768. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

bbb February 22, 2004

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600